PRAECIPE FOR SUMMONS

In the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma

~~[illegible]~~ Belle Harrison
Plaintiff

vs.

City of Tulsa
et al
Defendant

NO.

TO THE CLERK OF SAID COURT:

ISSUE SUMMONS in the above entitled cause, and direct the same to the Sheriff of County, State of Oklahoma, for the defendants

H. F. Newblock, Mayor, City Hall

T. D. Evans 703 So. Frisco

J. M. Adkinson 201 So. Wheeling

O. A. Steiner, 1519 So. Rockford

C. S. Younkman 122 No. Lawton

George H. Blaine

State Insurance Commissioner for the Bonding Companies

National Surety Co.

United States Fidelity and Guaranty Co

Lion Bonding and Surety Co

Amount claimed, $ 6600.00 and interest from the ____ day of ____, 19__

at ____ per cent per annum

Action brought for Damages

Defendants required to answer on or before the 20 day of July, 192 3

Make summons returnable 8 day of June A. D., 192 3

Dated this 31 day of May A. D., 192 3

Scott & Camp
Attorney for plaintiff.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA)
: SS. IN THE DISTRICT COURT.
COUNTY OF TULSA)

Belle Harrison,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
--vs--	)	No. 23373
City of Tulsa, et al,	)	
Defendant.	)	

SPECIAL APPEARANCE

Comes now Tulsa County, named as one of the defendants in the above entitled cause, and County Commissioners, named as one of the defendants in said cause, appearing specially and for the purpose of this motion only, and for no other purpose and objects to the jurisdiction of this Court, for the reason: That the summons served upon the parties making this special appearance was not issued, served or returned as provided by law.

JOHN M. GOLDESBERRY
County Attorney of Tulsa County,
and
Assistant County Attorney,
Attorneys for Defendants.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA)
: SS. IN THE DISTRICT COURT
COUNTY OF TULSA)

Belle Harrison,
Plaintiff,
--vs--
City of Tulsa, et al,
Defendant.

No.23373

MOTION TO MAKE MORE DEFINITE
AND CERTAIN

Comes now W. M. McCullough, one of the defendants in the above entitled cause and moves the Court to require plaintiff to make his petition more definite and certain, in the following particulars, that is to say:

That plaintiff be required to set out in what manner this defendant connived and consented with the other defendants to the conspiracy alleged and set forth in plaintiff's petition.

That plaintiff be required to set out in what manner and with whom this defendant "acquiesced" "to further carry out said purpose and plan," resulting in the damage to plaintiff, as alleged in his petition.

JOHN M. GOLDESBERRY
County Attorney of Tulsa County,
and
James Hannigan
Assistant County Attorney,
Attorneys for Defendant.

No. 23373

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA
COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Belle Harrison,

Plaintiff,

--vs--

City of Tulsa, et al,

Defendants.

MOTION TO MAKE MORE DEFINITE
AND CERTAIN

FILED
DISTRICT COURT
JUN 25 1973
TULSA COUNTY, OKLA.

No. 23373

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA
COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Belle Harrison,

Plaintiff,

--vs--

City of Tulsa, et al,

Defendants.

MOTION TO MAKE MORE DEFINITE
AND CERTAIN

DISTRICT COURT
FILED
JUN 23 1964
TULSA COUNTY, OKLA.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.

Belle Harrison, Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 23,373
	)	
The City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, et al., Defendants.	)	

DISMISSAL

Comes now the plaintiff and dismisses the above styled and numbered cause, without prejudice to future action, this 31 day of May, 1937.

Elisha Scott
Attorney for Plaintiff.

List of Property

lost in Tulsa and Tulsa County, Oklahoma, June 1st, 1921, as a result of rioting, looting, incendiarism, etc.

Said property owned or in the possession of and under control of

Name Belle Harrison, Owner P.O. Address Tulsa, Okla.

Buildings and Improvements

N. Frankfort St.

Addition to Tulsa, Tulsa Co., Okla.	Lot	Block	Description of Improvements	Value of Imp.	Total Value
North Side Addition.	22	3	6 room house, modern and sanitary	3000.	3000.0

Personal Property

	Kind	Number	Value	Total Value
1	Horses			
2	Mules			
3	Cattle			
4	Hogs			
5	Tools and Implements			
6	Wagons and Harness			
7	Carriages and Vehicles			
8	Automobiles			
9	Household Furniture			
10	Pianos			
11	Watches			
12	Other Musical Instruments			
13	Gold and Silver Plate			
14	Diamonds and other Jewelry			
15	Guns			
16	Office Furniture			
17	Furniture and Fixtures of Theatres and Amusements			
18	Lodge Room Fixtures			
19	Typewriters			
20	Books			
21	Law Libraries			
22	Money, Checks			
23	Stocks, Bonds			
24	Eating House Fixtures			
25	Dogs			
26	Billiard and Pool Tables			
27	All Other Personal Property Not Listed above			

TOTAL VALUE AS LISTED $3000.00

I, the undersigned affiant, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I have listed above and within, all personal property, money and buildings, owned, used, possessed or controlled by me, for myself or any other person or persons as guardian, husband, parent, trustee, executor, administrator, receiver, accounting officer, partner, factor, bailee or agent, and that I have made true answers to the above and foregoing questions, according to the best of my knowledge, so help me God.

(Signed) _____

Subscribed and sworn to in my presence this _____ day of 1921

By _____

My commission expires _____, 192____

said improvements were constructed, and in addition thereto the sum of $10,000 as punitive damages for the wrongful and malicious acts in the destruction of plaintiff's property as herein set out and for such other judgment as to the court shall seem meet and just

Elisha Scott
Geo. W. Carry
Attorney for Plaintiff

That the defendant the Lion Bonding & Surety Company made, executed and delivered a certain bond in the sum of $10,000 on behalf of O. A. Steiner one of the co-defendants herein, a copy of which bond is hereto attached and marked Exhibit "H" and made a part hereof, and that by reason of said bond are liable for the wrongful acts of said O. A. Steiner, then Commissioner of the City of Tulsa.

Plaintiff further alleges that said wrongful acts were committed by the person or persons with the full knowledge, connivance, consent and conspiracy of these defendants with their full knowledge, cognizance and acquiescence of each of said defendants, and to further carry out said purpose and plans the said defendants so conspired that the said fire departments of the City of Tulsa were not allowed to combat said fires until after the complete destruction of all of the property within the confines of certain quarters of the City of Tulsa had been affected, resulting in the damage to this plaintiff as alleged in the sum of $5400.00.

Plaintiff further alleges that the value of said property so destroyed consisting of the improvements upon said lots and the personal property therein contained was $5400.00, a full, true and complete itemized list of said improvements and personal property is hereto attached and made a part hereof and marked Exhibit "I"

That the pplaintiff did file with the Board of City Commissioners, its auditor and other agents authorized to receive the same a full, true and correct itemized and completeaccount of the value of the property so destroyed and of the expenditures so made by him to replace the same, with the request that the same be allowed and paid out of the general fund of the City of Tulsa, but that the said defendants, the City of Tulsa and the City Commissioners and its duly authorized agents failed, refused and neglected to pay the same and still fails, refuses and neglects to pay said obligation.

Therefore, plaintiff prays judgment against the defendants and eachof them in the sum of $5400.00 for actual damages sustained by this plaintiff for the destruction of the property hereinbefore described and in addition thereto the sum of $1200.00, the amount of revenue from said property which revenue would have been received

That the United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company made, executed and delivered a certain bond on behalf of Charles S. Younkman in the sum of $10,000.00, a copy of which is hereto attached and marked Exhibit "C" and made a part hereof, and by reason of the said bond are liable for the wrongful acts of the said Charles S. Younkman, defendant herein and then one of the Commissioners of the City of Tulsa.

And the defendant the United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company made, executed and delivered a certain bond in behalf of T. D. Evans, one of the co-defendants hereini in the sumof $10,000 a copy of which bond is hereto attached and marked and marked Exhibit "D", and that by reason of said bond are liable for the wrongful acts of said T. D. Evans, defendant herein, and the Mayor of the City of Tulsa.

That the defendant the United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company made, executed and delivered a certain bond in the sum of $10,000, in befalf of J. M. Adkinson, one of the Commissioners of the City of Tulsa, and one of the co-defendants herein, a copy of which bond is hereto attached and marked Exhibit "E", and by reason of said bond are liable for the wrongful acts of J. M. Adkinson, Commissioner of the City of Tulsa.

That the defendant the National Surety Company made, executed and delivered a certain bond in the sum of $10,000 on behalf of Herman F. Newblock, one of the co-defendants, a copy of which bond is hereto attached and marked Exhibit "F", and by reason of said bond are liable for the wrongful acts of said Herman F. Newblock, defendant herein and then Commissioner of the City of Tulsa.

That the defendant the National Surety Company made, executed and delivered a certain bond on behalf of O. A. Steiner, one of the defendants herein in the sum of $10,000, a copy of which bond is hereto attache, marked Exhibit "G" and made a part hereof and by reason of said bond are liable for the wrongful acts of said O. A. Steiner, defendant herein, and then one of the Commissioners of the City of Tulsa.

That this plaintiff was the owner in fee of said above described property and paid taxes thereon and the same was carried upon the tax rolls in the name of this plaintiff as the owner thereof.

That on or about May 30th, 1921, the defendants above named and each of them and the City of Tulsa acting through its legally elected and qualified commissioners and officers and through its agents and employees and through its lawfully orgainzed police forces, did wrongfully conspire, acquiesce in and assist certain person and persons to th s plaintiff unknow, in the wrongful and felonious endeavors to burn pillage and destroy certain property in the vicinity of the property heretofore described belonging to this plaintiff and that said persons acting under thegeneral plan of destruction did carry out said attacks and unlawfully destroy said properties and improvements on the real estate hereinbefore described, said property belonging to this plaintiff.

That the defendant the Lion Bonding & Surety Company executed a certain bond in the sum of $3,000 as bondsman of the said Chief of Police and codefendantherein, John A. Gustafson, a copy of which bond is hereto attached and marked Exhibit A, and that by reason of said bond the said Lion Bonding & Surety Company are liable for the wrongful acts of the said John A. Gustafson and his malefeasance in office as Chief of Police.

That the said National Surety Company made and executed the bond for $5,000.00 for the said W. M. McCullough, who was then sheriff of Tulsa County. A full, true and complete copy of the bond so made, executed and delivered is hereto attached and marked Exhibit B. and that by reason of the said bond the said National Surety Company is liable for the wrongful acts of the said W. M. McCullough, then sheriff of Tulsa County.

within which the said City of Tulsa is located and commissioned to act through its duly and regularly elected and qualified Board of County Commissioners and that at all times hereinafter mentioned the said _____

_____ and _____

were the duly elected, qualified and acting Board of County Commissioners, and that the County Seat of the said Tulsa County is Tulsa, Oklahoma.

That the National Surety Company is a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York and authorized to become sole surety on bonds in the State of Oklahoma as surety.

That the Lion Bonding and Surety Company is a corporation duly incorporated under the laws of the State of Nebraska and authorized to become sole surety on the bonds in the State of Oklahoma as surety.

That the United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company is a corporation duly incorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland with its principal place of business at Baltimore, Maryland, and are authorized to become sole surety on bonds in the State of Oklahoma as surety.

There heretofore to wit: on or about May 30th, 1921, said plaintiff was the owner in fee of certain real estate with improvements thereon, located in the City of Tulsa, described as follows:

A six room house lot 1, block 5
A three room house lot 2, block 8

said property being located in that portion and part of the city of Tulsa north of the Frisco Railway Station

STATE OF OKLAHOMA }
TULSA COUNTY } SS

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR SAID COUNTY AND STATE

Belle Harrison, Plaintiff,

12397

vs

The City of Tulsa;

T. D. Evans, Mayor,
O. A. Steiner,
J. M. Adkinson,
Charles S. Younkman,
Herman F. Newblock, Commissioners
of the City of Tulsa,
W. M. McCullough, Sheriff of Tulsa County,
And Tulsa County,
Geo. H. Blanton Ex Chief of Police
County Commissioners of said Tulsa County,
and John A. Gustafson, Chief of Police of
the City of Tulsa, and the National Surety Company,
a corporation, United States Fidelity & Guaranty
Company, a corporation, and the Lion Bonding &
Surety Company, a corporation, ...Defendants.

PETITION

Comes now the plaintiff and for cause of action against the defendants and each of them, alleges and states:

That the plaintiff is now ans was at all times hereinafter mentioned, a citizen and resident of the City of Tulsa Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma. Thatshe was at all times hereinafter mentioned a property owner and tax payer in said City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

That the City of Tulsa is a duly incorporated City, and is and was at all times hereinafter mentioned operating under the commission form of Government and that the said defednants, T. D. Evans, O. A. Steiner, J. M. Adkinson, Charles S. Younkman, and Herman F. Newblock were at all times hereinafter mentioned the duly elected and acting commissioners of said City of Tulsa and that the defendant John A. Gustafson was the du ly appointed and acting Chief of Police.

No. 23,373

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY,
OKLAHOMA.

Belle Harrison, Plaintiff,

vs.

City of Tulsa et al., Defendants.

151-635

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

COMPARED

DISTRICT COURT
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, TULSA COUNTY
JUL 6 - 1937
CAL CRUM, COURT CLERK

GIBSON & HOLLEMAN
ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS
NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA BLDG.
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

GIBSON & HOLLEMAN
ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS
NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA BLDG.
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.

Belle Harrison, Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 23,373
	)	
City of Tulsa et al., Defendants.	)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 3rd day of July, 1937, the above entitled matter came on for hearing upon the dismissal of this cause by the plaintiff, and the court being advised in the premises, this cause is hereby dismissed.

Bradford J. Williams
Judge

No. 23,369

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY,
OKLAHOMA.

Lena Anderson et al., Plaintiffs,

vs.

City of Tulsa et al., Defendants.

151-634

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

COMPARED

DISTRICT COURT
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, TULSA COUNTY
JUL 6 - 1937
CAL CRUM, COURT CLERK

GIBSON & HOLLEMAN
ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS
NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA BLDG.
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

GIBSON & HOLLEMAN
ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS
NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA BLDG.
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.

Lena Anderson and A. L. Hollingsworth,	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		No. 23,369
City of Tulsa et al.,	Defendants.	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 3rd day of July, 1937, the above entitled matter came on for hearing upon the dismissal of this cause by the plaintiffs, and the court being advised in the premises, this cause is hereby dismissed.

Bradford Gibson
Judge.

No. 23,369

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY,
OKLAHOMA.

Lena Anderson et al., Plaintiffs,

vs.

City of Tulsa et al., Defendants.

DISMISSAL

DISTRICT COURT
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, TULSA CO
JUN 30 1937
CAL CRUM, COURT CLERK

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.

Lena Anderson and A. L. Hollingsworth,	Plaintiffs,)	
vs.	)	No. 23,369
The City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, et al.,	Defendants.)	

DISMISSAL

Come now the plaintiffs and dismiss the above styled and numbered cause, without prejudice to future action, this 31 day of May, 1937.

Elisha Scott
Attorney for Plaintiff.

That the National Surety Company is a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York and authorized to become sole surety on bonds in the State of New York and authorized to become sole surety on bonds in the State of Oklahoma as surety.

That the Lion Bonding and Surety Company is a corporation duly incorporated under the laws of the State of Nebraska and authorized to become sole surety on the bonds in the State of Oklahoma as surety.

That the United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company is a corporation duly incorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland, and are authorized to become sole surety on bonds in the State of Oklahoma as surety.

That the defendant, the Lion Bonding & Surety Company executed a ~~[illegible]~~ certain bond in the sum of $5,000.00 as bondsman of the said Chief of Police and co-defendant herein, John A. Gustafson, a copy of which bond is hereto attached and marked Exhibit "A", and by reason of said bond the said Lion Bonding & Surety Company are liable for the wrongful acts of the said John A. Gustafson and his misfeasance in office as Chief of Police, executed and delivered hereto attached and marked Exhibit "B", and that by reason of the said bond the National Surety Company is liable for the wrongful acts of the said V. E. McGilvary, then sheriff of the County of Tulsa.

That the United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company made, executed and delivered a certain bond on behalf of Charles S. Toulouse in the sum of $10,000.00 a copy of said bond could not be obtained for this reason is not attached, and by reason of the said bond are herein and then one of the City Commissioners of the City of Tulsa.

And the defendant the United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company made, executed and delivered a certain bond in behalf of T. B. Dunn, one of the co-defendants herein in the sum of $10,000.00 a copy of which bond is hereto attached and marked Exhibit "D", and that by reason of said bond are liable for the wrongful acts of said T. B. Dunn, defendant herein , and the Mayor of the City of Tulsa.

and the intention thereof, said city's officials, it's servants, agents and employees, did burn and destroy the plaintiff's property, houses, personal effects andall personal property contained therein, to the damage of ~~$~~ 2952:95 because of no ordinances to the contrary.

3. That said J.R. Blaine, being at that time, a servant, agent and employee of the City of Tulsa, acting in the capacity of the captain of the police department, did, ride in an airplane, drop and causedto be dropped turpentine balls and bombs down and upon the houses of the plaintiff and divers other persons in the city of Tulsa. That the said T. D. Evans, the mayor of the City of Tulsa, J.M. Adkinson, the Police commissioner of the City of Tulsa and the said J.R. Blaine, all servants agents and employees of the City of Tulsa, acting in their capacity, as mayor, police commissioner, chief of police and captain of the police department, did form said conspiracy with other agents, servants and employees of said city and divers other persons, to kill citizens and to destroy the property of certain colored citizens of Tulsa; and in pursuance thereof, did destroy the property of the plaintiff herein. That said damage to the plaintiff was occasioned because the city's law-making body, in disregard of the provisions of it's charter, failed, refused and neglected to enact and pass ordinances and laws prohibiting the forming of a conspiracy, as afore mentioned and set out, which resulted directly in the burning and total destruction of the plaintiff's property. That on the thirty first day of May, 1921 and on the first of June, 1921, plaintiff was the owner of the property hereinafter described and set out, that was destroyed as a result of the conspiracy heretofore alleged. Said property described and destroyed is as follows:

whole duty under the law and by reason of thefailure of the city to have adequate laws for the protection of the citizens and the city of Tulsa in disregard of the city charter, plaintiff was damaged by the defendants and each of them in the sum of $ 7952.95 . That the Plaintiff filed a claim of loss of damage with the city clerk, according to law, a copy of said claim is hereto attached, marked exhibit A and made a part of this petition.

Wherefore: Plaintiff prays judgement against the defendants and each of them in the sum

Against the defendant, City of Tulsa, for burning and destruction of property aforementioned and described,	$2952.95
Loss of rent on said houses	
For humiliation, inconvenience and injury to health	$5000.00
Against the remaining defendants and each of them, as aforementioned and described,	$7952.95
Total judgement against the defendants	005.90

For the costs of this action and for such other and further relief as the Court in the premises deems proper.

2 952.95
5
7 952.95
11 805.90

Elisha Scott
Geo. W. Carry

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

A.

Lena Anderson,
Plaintiff

vs

NO. 23369

The City of Tulsa, Oklahoma,
a municipal corporation;
T.D. Evans, ex-mayor of Tulsa;
J.M. Adkinson, ex-police commissioner
of Tulsa;
Geo. Blaine, ex-chief of Police of
Tulsa, Oklahoma;
The St. Clair Oil Company, a corporation

Charles S. Younkman, Herman F. Newblock, John aA. Gustafsom, O. A. Steiner, Commissioners, and The National Surety Co., a corporation, United States Fidelity and Surety Co., a corporation and the Lion Bonding and Surety Co., a corporation.,

Defendants

PETITION.

Comes now the plaintiff A. L. Hollingsworth and for cause of action against the defendants and each of them, respectfully states to the Court that he was anda t all times hereinafter pentioned, a resident of the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma. Plaintiff alleges that the defendant, the City of Tulsa, is a municipal corporation, organized and doing business under a charter form of government.

Plintiff complains of the defendants and each of them and for his cause of action, states that:

1. That previous to June1, 1921, plaintiff was living quietly and peaceably, demeaning himself as a law-abiding citizen of the City of Tulsa and State of Oklahoma. That he was, without warrant or process form any Court of competent jurisdiction, fore-

ibly arrested, and taken to a detention camp, arranged by the defendant, the City of Tulsa dna it's city officials, aforementioned and named, against his will and consent. That said detnetion camp had been previously arranged for the concentration of the colored people of the defendant City. That his arrest was affected by agents, servants and employees of the defendant city and by the direction of the officials above named and mentioned. That prior to Junel, 1921, the defendant owned real estate and personal property hereinafter more parti cularly describ ed and set out, that was standing and left intact when he was taken away. That plaintiff was restrained of his liberty by the defendant, the city of Tulsa and by the defendants above named and mentioned, its servants, agents and employees, for more than twenty four hours and that when he was released and allowed to return to his home, his property hereinafter described, with all personal effects, his homestead and other houses, had been burned to the ground as were other houses in that immediate vicinity.

2. Plaintiff alleges that the defendant, the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, derives it's city governmental authority from a charter, By terms of said charter, the city should have passed and enacted adequate ordinances and laws for the protection of all of it's citizens, which it failed and omitted to do. That the defendant, the City ofTulsa, by reason of the negligence of it's servants, agents and employees, failed and neglected to en act ordinances for the protectio n of citizens of Tulsa. That said city faild, neglected and refused, at all times to pass ordinances and laws, prohibiting conspiracy between the city's officials, servants, agents and employees, and with other persons is said city, That by reason of the failure to enact such ordinances and laws, for the protection of it's citizens, the city's officials and other persons, working in conjunction with the city' officials and with the city's servants, agents and employees, did prior to June 1, 1921, form a conspiracy for the purpose and to the end of destroying the life of the citizens ofTulsa and to destroy pro-

Buildings and improvements on Lot 7 in Block 15, City of Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Houses on real estate, valued at	$ 12000.00
Loss of rent on said houses -	$
Personal effects and personal	$
property valued at	$ 1652.95
Total value of property destroyed and lost by defendant	$ 2952.95

Plaintiff further alleges that since the burning of his houses above stated and the destruction and burning of his personal property, that for a long time thereafter, he was practically out of doors and suffered much inconvenience and humiliation because of the immediate rainfalls thereafter the burning and destruction, during the month of June , That his health has been seriously impaired and that he has been seriously inconvenienced and humiliated and damaged by the defendants and each of them, in the sum of $ 5000.00

4. Plaintiff alleges that the defendant, the St.Clair Oil Company, a corporation, did, at the request and instant of the City's agents, and in furtherance of the conspiracy, afore mentioned and set out, furnish airplaines on the night of May 31, 1921, and on the morning of June 1, 1921, to carry the defendants city's agents, servants and employees and other persons, being a part of said conspiracy and other conspirators. That the said J.R. Blaine, captain of the police department, with others, was carried in said airplane, which dropped turpentine balls and bombs down and upon the houses of the plaintiff, which destroyed siad houses and the personal effects therein, and divers other persons in the vicinity, That by reason of the use of said airplanes. afore mentioned the plaintiff is damaged in the sum of $ 7952.95. That by reason of the defendants and each of them, failing to discharge their

List of Property

lost in Tulsa and Tulsa County, Oklahoma, June 1st, 1921, as a result of [redacted], looting, incendiarism, etc.

Said property owned or in the possession of and under control of

Name Lawrence Anderson P.O. Address Tulsa Okla

Buildings and Improvements 114 N Greenwood

Addition to Tulsa, Tulsa Co., Okla.	Lot	Block	Description of Improvements	Value of Imp.	Total Value
Inside " " "	142	4	1 sid room house house		2000.00

Personal Property

	Kind	Number	Value	Total Value
1	Horses			
2	Mules			
3	Cattle			
4	Hogs			
5	Tools and Implements			
6	Wagons and Harness			
7	Carriages and Vehicles			
8	Automobiles			
9	Household Furniture			1913.00
10	~~Pianos~~ MISCELLANEOUS			300.00
11	Watches			
12	Other Musical Instruments			
13	Gold and Silver Plate			
14	Diamonds and other Jewelry			200.00
15	Tailor shop ~~Guns~~ fixtures			1000.00
16	Office Furniture			
17	Furniture and Fixtures of Theatres and Amusements			
18	Lodge Room Fixtures			
19	Typewriters			
20	Books			
21	Law Libraries			
22	Money, Checks			275.00
23	Stocks, Bonds			
24	Eating House Fixtures			
25	Dogs Pool Table			20.00
26	Billiard and Pool Tables			
27	All Other Personal Property Not Listed above	Clothes		1000.00

TOTAL VALUE AS LISTED $6718.00

I, the undersigned affiant, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I have listed above and within, all personal property, money and buildings, owned, used, possessed or controlled by me, for myself or any other person or persons as guardian, husband, parent, trustee, executor, administrator, receiver, accounting officer, partner, factor, bailee or agent, and that I have made true answers to the above and foregoing questions, according to the best of my knowledge, so help me God.

(Signed) Lawrence Anderson

Subscribed and sworn to in my presence this July 6 day of 1921

By R. A. Chappell

My commission expires Nov 8, 1924

No. 23,370

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY,
OKLAHOMA.

151-634

J. W. Williams, Plaintiff,

vs.

City of Tulsa et al., Defendants.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

DISTRICT COURT
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, TULSA COUNTY
JUL 6 - 1937
CAL CRUM, COURT CLERK

GIBSON & HOLLEMAN
ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS
NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA BLDG.
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

GIBSON & HOLLEMAN
ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS
NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA BLDG.
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.

J. W. Williams, Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 23,370
	)	
City of Tulsa et al., Defendants.	)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 3rd day of July, 1937, the above entitled matter came on for hearing upon the dismissal of this cause by the plaintiff, and the court being advised in the premises, this cause is hereby dismissed.

Bradley Williams
Judge

No. 23,370

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY,
OKLAHOMA.

J. W. Williams, Plaintiff,

vs.

City of Tulsa et al., Defendants.

DISMISSAL

DISTRICT COURT
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, TULSA COUNTY
JUN 30 1937
CAL CRUM, COURT CLERK

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.

J. W. Williams, Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 23,370
	)	
The City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, et al., Defendants.	)	

DISMISSAL

Comes now the plaintiff and dismisses the above styled and numbered cause, without prejudice to future action, this 31 day of May, 1937.

Elisha Scott
Attorney for Plaintiff.